UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/623,683 | 07/21/2003 | Ramesh Nallur | A-8378 | 5317 |
| Scientific-Atlan | 7590 01/14/200 ata. Inc. | EXAMINER | | |
| Intellectual Property Dept. MS 4.3.510 5030 Sugarloaf Parkway Lawrenceville, GA 30044 | | | MONTOYA, OSCHTA I | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2421 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/14/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| 10/623,683 | NALLUR ET A | L. | |
| John W. Miller | 2421 | | |
| | | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review file | d 27 October 2008. |
|---|--|---|
| Improper Request – The Representation (s): | Request is improper and a cor | ference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The request does not incl | not been filed concurrent with ude reasons why a review is s included with the Pre-Appea | |
| The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co | | receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal browning will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because there is a rief in accordance with 37 CFI n from mailing this decision, o tice of appeal, whichever is g 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the | s – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been t least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant R 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal r the balance of the two-month time period reater. Further, the time period for filing of the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| ☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-40 and Claim(s) withdrawn from core | <u>42</u> . | as follows: |
| | | ne rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of osed. No further action is required by |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further a | | e rejection is withdrawn and a new Office at this time. |
| All participants: | | |
| (1) <u>John W. Miller</u> . | (3) <u>Chr</u> | <u>is Grant</u> . |
| (2) Oschta Montoya. | (4) | <u></u> : |
| /John W. Miller/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421 | /Christopher Grant/ Quality Assurance Specialis TC 2400 | /Oschta Montoya/ |